

GLYCOBIOLOGY AND EXTRACELLULAR MATRICES:

A Secreted Type of β1,6-N -Acetylglucosaminyltransferase V (GnT-V) Induces Tumor Angiogenesis without Mediation of Glycosylation: A NOVEL FUNCTION OF GnT-V DISTINCT FROM THE ORIGINAL GLYCOSYLTRANSFERASE ACTIVITY

Takashi Saito, Eiji Miyoshi, Ken Sasai, Norihiko Nakano, Hironobu Eguchi, Koich Honke and Naoyuki Taniguchi *J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277:17002-17008.*

Access the most updated version of this article at http://www.jbc.org/content/277/19/17002

Find articles, minireviews, Reflections and Classics on similar topics on the JBC Affinity Sites.

Alerts:

- When this article is cited
- · When a correction for this article is posted

Click here to choose from all of JBC's e-mail alerts

This article cites 41 references, 17 of which can be accessed free at http://www.jbc.org/content/277/19/17002.full.html#ref-list-1

A Secreted Type of β 1,6-N-Acetylglucosaminyltransferase V (GnT-V) Induces Tumor Angiogenesis without Mediation of Glycosylation

A NOVEL FUNCTION OF GnT-V DISTINCT FROM THE ORIGINAL GLYCOSYLTRANSFERASE ACTIVITY*

Received for publication, January 17, 2002, and in revised form, February 28, 2002 Published, JBC Papers in Press, February 28, 2002, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M200521200

Takashi Saito, Eiji Miyoshi, Ken Sasai, Norihiko Nakano, Hironobu Eguchi, Koich Honke, and Naoyuki Taniguchi‡

From the Department of Biochemistry, Osaka University Medical School, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

Angiogenesis is the first regulatory step of tumor progression. Herein, we report on some findings that show that β 1,6-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase V (GnT-V) functions as an inducer of angiogenesis that has a novel and completely different function from the original function of glycosyltransferase. A secreted type of GnT-V protein itself promoted angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo at physiological concentrations. The highly basic domain of GnT-V induced the release of fibroblast growth factor-2 from heparan sulfate proteoglycan on the cell surface and/or extracellular matrix, leading to angiogenesis. These findings provide some novel information on the relationship between GnT-V and tumor metastasis. The inhibition of GnT-V secretion or its expression represents a novel potential strategy for the inhibition of tumor angiogenesis.

Angiogenesis represents an obligatory step in cancer progression (1, 2). A variety of factors, such as fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2),¹ vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and interleukin-8, contribute to tumor growth. The production of these factors and cytokines is controlled by complicated mechanisms, which include increased gene expression, posttranslational modifications, and interactions with the extracellular matrix.

Many growth factors and their receptors, some of which play a role in tumor angiogenesis, are glycoproteins. Recent studies employing glycosyltransferase gene manipulation have revealed that changes in the oligosaccharide structure of these receptors bring about alterations in intracellular signaling, thus leading to cellular transformation (3–6). β 1,6-*N*-acetylglucosaminyltransferase V (GnT-V or mannoside acetylglucosaminyltransferase 5 (Mgat5)), which catalyzes the formation of β 1–6 branching on *N*-glycans, has been proposed as one of the most important glycosyltransferases associated with tumor metastasis (7, 8). Furthermore, a recent study of GnT-V-deficient mice indicates that it is essential for tumor metastasis as well as for tumor growth (9). Clinical studies have shown increases in GnT-V activity in breast and hepatocellular carcinomas (10, 11). In human breast cancer cells, a positive correlation was observed between GnT-V activity and tumor size (11). We have found that the expression of GnT-V in human colon cancer tissues was correlated with a poor prognosis and distant metastasis (12). This suggests that GnT-V level should be indicative of a poor prognosis in cases of colorectal cancer. These results strongly suggest that GnT-V plays a pivotal role in tumor malignancy. However, the detailed mechanisms of the regulation via GnT-V with respect to tumor size or metastasis remain unknown.

To address this issue, we established GnT-V transfectants and examined the metastatic potentials of these cells. In the course of this study, we found that GnT-V transfectants induced dramatic increase in angiogenic activity. The induction of tumor angiogenesis by GnT-V is thought to be due to 1) increases in the expression/production of angiogenic factors, 2) changes in their function via the addition of $\beta 1-6$ branching, and 3) other unknown mechanisms. In the present study, we have investigated the mechanisms of tumor angiogenesis by GnT-V, and the findings herein show that a secreted type of GnT-V itself was able to induce angiogenesis with no detectable mediation of glycosylation. In addition, we also found that a basic domain in GnT-V caused the direct release of FGF-2 from heparan sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG) on the cell surface and/or extracellular matrix. Our findings here strongly suggest that GnT-V is a bifunctional protein and that a secreted type of GnT-V protein itself plays a critical role in tumor angiogenesis, acting as an angiogenic cofactor of FGF-2.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Cultures and Transfection—A human colon carcinoma cell line, WiDr was cultured in RPMI 1640 containing 10% of fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) and antibiotics (penicillin and streptomycin). Gene transfection was performed using the CELL FECTIN™ reagent (Invitrogen). Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were isolated as described previously (13), and cultured in MCDB131 medium (Invitrogen) containing 10% of fetal bovine serum, human FGF-2 (10 ng/ml), and antibiotics.

Transplantation of the Tumor Cells to Nude Mice -5×10^5 cells of each glycosyltransferase transfectant were injected into the back of athymic mice. After 1 month, the mice were sacrificed. Tumor formation and angiogenesis were observed macroscopically.

Chick Embryo Chorioallantoic Membrane (CAM)-Angiogenesis Assay—The CAM assay was performed as described previously with slight modifications (14, 15). CAMs from 8-day-old fertilized white Leghorn chicken embryos were used in this assay. The cells were seeded onto a collagen sponge at a density of 1×10^5 cells and then incubated for 4 h. Collagen sponges were deposited onto 5-mm round silicon rings on the CAM. In the case of purified GnT-V proteins, each GnT-V mutant protein was placed on 1% methylcellulose. Each sample was deposited onto 5-mm round silicon rings on the CAM. The CAMs were incubated for 48 h and photographed using a digital camera.

^{*} This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) No. 13854010 from the Japan Society for the promotion of Science. The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

[‡]To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 81-6-6879-3421; Fax: 81-6-6879-3429; E-mail: proftani@biochem.med.osaka-u. ac.jp.

ac.jp. ¹ The abbreviations used are: FGF, fibroblast growth factor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; GnT-V, β 1,6-N-acetylglucosaminyl-transferase V; HSPG, heparan sulfate proteoglycan; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cell(s); PIGF, placental growth factor; CAM, chorioallantoic membrane.



FIG. 1. **GnT-V** transfectants induce angiogenic response *in vivo*. *A*, marked angiogenesis induced by GnT-V-transfected WiDr cells transplanted subcutaneously into athymic mice. The *arrowheads* indicate blood vessels. *B*, CAM assay using collagen sponges that contain the indicated transfectants. *C*, HUVEC proliferation after treatment with culture medium from the indicated glycosyltransferase transfectants. *CTR*, normal fresh medium used in the HUVEC culture as a positive control.

HUVEC Proliferation Assay—HUVEC were seeded in a 96-well plate coated with type I collagen (2 \times 10³ cells/well). After 24 h, the medium was replaced with MCDB131 medium containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin and starved for 24 h. The medium was then replaced with the conditioned medium from glycosyltransferase transfectants or MCDB131 medium containing human FGF-2 (Dainippon Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.), GnT-VA73, A188, A233, or A436, with or without a neutralizing antibody against FGF-2 (R & D systems). After 24 h, the cells were incubated with [³H]thymidine (1 μ Ci/ml) for 8 h. Incorporation was evaluated by a Micro96 Harvester (SKATRON) and then analyzed with a MicroBeta-Counter (Wallac). The results represent the average \pm S.E. of samples assayed in six wells. All experiments were obtained in each case.

Preparation of Purified Recombinant GnT-V-Two types of GnT-V proteins, GnT-V Δ 73 and Δ 188, both of which are soluble forms, were prepared in a baculovirus-insect cell system (16). For the construction of a transfer plasmid for GnT-V Δ 233, the plasmid for GnT-V Δ 187 was digested with EcoRI and EagI. The resulting 1521-bp fragment, which includes Glu²³⁴-Leu⁷⁴¹ of hGnT-V and the C terminus polyhistidine tag, was then ligated into the EcoRI-EagI site of a transfer vector, pAcGP67-A (PharMingen). For the construction of a transfer plasmid for GnT-V Δ 436, the plasmid for GnT-V Δ 187 was digested with EcoRV and EagI. The resulting 912-bp fragment, which includes Ile⁴³⁷-Leu⁷⁴¹ of hGnT-V and the C terminus polyhistidine-tag, was then ligated into the EcoRV-EagI site of the pAcGP67-A vector. The resulting transfer plasmids were transfected into Sf21 cells in order to produce a recombinant virus by methods described previously (17). The recombinant enzymes derived from the infected Sf21 cells were then purified by Ni²⁺-chelating affinity chromatography (16).

SDS-PAGE was performed according to Laemmli (18). Each GnT-V mutant protein (100 ng) was subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions. The proteins were visualized by silver staining.

Peptide Synthesis—The KRKRKK peptide, corresponding to amino acids 264–269 of human GnT-V, and the FSGGPL peptide (amino acids 291–296) were synthesized using a Peptide Synthesizer A432 (Applied Biosystems). They were purified using reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography, and their mass and purity were verified by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (Voyager-DETM RP; PerSeptive Biosystems).

FGF-2 Measurement—The concentration of FGF-2 was measured as described previously (19). HUVEC cells, seeded at 5×10^4 cells/well onto collagen-coated 12-well plates, were washed twice with PBS, and then the medium was then replaced with MCDB131 plus 0.1% BSA (0.5 ml/well), in the presence or absence of each molecule: GnT-V Δ 73, GnT-V Δ 436, the KRKRKK peptide, the FSGGPL peptide, and heparin. Cells were incubated for 2 h on a rotating plate at 4 °C. The supernatants were collected and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C to remove debris. These samples were assayed using an FGF-2 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay system (R & D Systems) according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

RESULTS

Transplantation of GnT-V Transfectants Induces Hypervascularization in Athymic Mice—Our previous studies demonstrated that the expression level of GnT-V is highly correlated with a poor prognosis in colorectal cancer (12). Therefore, we established stable transfectants of it using the human colon cancer cell line WiDr, along with control transfectants of β 1,4-





FIG. 2. Purified recombinant GnT-V proteins accelerate HUVEC proliferation. A, HUVEC proliferation promoted by the administration of GnT-V Δ 73. B, constructs of deletion mutants of GnT-V. *Black box*, the basic region; TM, the transmembrane domain; *Stem*, stem region of GnT-V. C, SDS-PAGE of purified GnT-V mutants. D, HUVEC proliferation assay after the addition of 100 ng/ml each purified GnT-V mutant protein.

N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase-III and α 1,6-fucosyltransferase. WiDr cells express the above glycosyltransferases at very low or negligible levels. When these transfectants were transplanted to athymic mice, transplanted tumors of GnT-V transfectants showed a dramatic hypervascularization, compared with the other transplants (Fig. 1A).

GnT-V Transfectants Induced Angiogenesis—To verify the induction of angiogenesis by the GnT-V transfectants, the chorioallantoic membrane of chick embryo (CAM assay) was employed (14, 15). An increased invasion of blood capillaries into the collagen sponge was observed only in the case of the GnT-V transfectants (Fig. 1B). This angiogenesis was also observed when the GnT-V gene was transiently expressed in WiDr, COS-1, and Chinese hamster ovary cells (data not shown). These data suggest that the induction of angiogenesis is a common effect of GnT-V gene transfection rather than a unique phenomenon limited to the WiDr clones.

Conditioned Medium from GnT-V Transfectants Stimulates HUVEC Proliferation—To evaluate the induction of angiogenesis in the GnT-V transfectants, we measured their effects on DNA synthesis in human umbilical vein epithelial cells (HUVEC) (20). DNA synthesis of HUVEC was increased as the result of replacement with the conditioned medium from the GnT-V transfectants, whereas no effects were detectable when the conditioned medium from the other transfectants was used (Fig. 1C). These data indicate that the GnT-V transfectants secreted a growth-stimulating factor for HUVEC. The addition of fresh medium (CTR) increased the HUVEC proliferation to a higher level than that of the conditioned medium from the GnT-V transfectants. This is probably due to a supply of growth-stimulating factors such as FGF-2 that are contained in fetal bovine serum.

Effect of Recombinant GnT-V on HUVEC Proliferation-Next, angiogenic activity in the conditioned medium from the GnT-V transfectants was characterized using column chromatography, monitoring HUVEC proliferation-stimulating activity. With heparin affinity chromatography, a high activity fraction was eluted with 0.3 M NaCl (data not shown). This characteristic is completely different from hitherto known angiogenic factors (e.g. FGF-1, FGF-2, VEGF, placental growth factor (PIGF), and hepatocyte growth factor), which are eluted with 0.8–1.5 $\scriptstyle\rm M$ NaCl (21–25). When Western blot analysis of the eluted fractions was performed using an anti-GnT-V antibody, its reactivity corresponded to the HUVEC proliferation activity (data not shown). It is known that GnT-V, as well as other glycosyltransferases, is secreted from tumor cells (26-30), although the physiological significance of this remains unknown. To address the hypothesis that a secreted type of GnT-V itself induces the proliferation of HUVEC, we prepared a special type of recombinant GnT-V, referred to as GnT-V Δ 73, which lacks the transmembrane domain but in which glycosyltransferase activity is retained (16). HUVEC proliferation was increased as a result of the administration of $GnT-V\Delta73$ in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2A). The utilized concentration appears to be within the physiological range. The concentration of GnT-V in conditioned medium from the GnT-V transfectants



FIG. 3. The basic region of GnT-V induces angiogenesis. A, alignment of the basic region of GnT-V with the heparin-binding domains of VEGF₁₈₉, PIGF-2, and HB-EGF. The initial amino acid in each protein is numbered at the *left. Gray boxes*, basic amino acids lysine (*K*) and arginine (*R*). *Boxes*, basic amino acids that are identical in at least three proteins. *B*, the amount of FGF-2 released from HUVEC after treatment with GnT-V Δ 73 (100 ng/ml), Δ 436 (100 ng/ml), KRKRKK peptide (1 ng/ml), FSGGPL peptide (1 ng/ml), and heparin (30 μ g/ml). *C*, the basic peptide KRKRKK stimulates HUVEC proliferation. HUVEC cells were stimulated with FGF-2 (5 ng/ml), GnT-V Δ 73 (100 ng/ml), GnT-V Δ 436 (100 ng/ml), KRKRKK peptide (1 ng/ml) in the presence (+) or absence (-) of a neutralizing antibody against FGF-2 (100 ng/ml).

was determined to be 140 ng/ml on the basis of the specific activity of GnT-V Δ 73. Furthermore, conditioned medium from B16-F10 mouse melanoma cells, which have a high endogenous GnT-V activity, contained ~70 ng/ml GnT-V. B16-F10 cells also showed an angiogenic activity similar to the GnT-V transfectants in the CAM assay (data not shown), suggesting that the GnT-V secreted from B16-F10 cells can stimulate angiogenesis in this assay system. In addition, the administration of recombinant α 1,6-fucosyltransferase indicated the absence of any HUVEC growth-stimulating activity (data not shown). These data indicate that a secreted type of GnT-V within the physiological concentration range has growth-stimulating activity for HUVEC.

Domain Analysis of GnT-V Affecting HUVEC Proliferation—To determine which domain of GnT-V contains the HUVEC growth-stimulating activity, we analyzed several types of deletion mutants of GnT-V (Fig. 2, *B* and *C*). GnT-V Δ 73, Δ 188, and Δ 233 mutants stimulated HUVEC proliferation, whereas Δ 436 did not (Fig. 2*D*). GnT-V Δ 73 and Δ 188 have GlcNAc transferase activity, but Δ 233 and Δ 436 do not. These data indicate that the HUVEC growth-stimulating activity is located in the region corresponding to amino acids 234–436 of GnT-V, which does not encompass glycosyltransferase activity.

Identification of a Basic Amino Acid-clustered Region of GnT-V to Induce Angiogenesis—There is a markedly basic region, corresponding to amino acids 254–269, of human GnT-V, whose sequence, KSLAEKQNLEKRKRKK, is very similar to the sequence of amino acid 142–157 of VEGF₁₈₉ (21) (Fig. 3A). In addition, the context of basic amino acids in this region is

conserved in PlGF-2 and heparin binding type epidermal growth factor-like growth factor (HB-EGF) and serves as a heparin-binding motif (21). Barillari et al. (19) reported that a basic peptide, GRGKRR, derived from the sequence of PIGF-2, induced the growth of endothelial cells by releasing FGF-2 from HSPG on the cell surface and/or extracellular matrix. Therefore, we synthesized a basic peptide, KRKRKK, corresponding to amino acids 264-269 of GnT-V and a nonbasic control peptide, FSGGPL (corresponding to amino acids 291-296 of GnT-V), and examined their effects on the growth of HUVEC. The amount of FGF-2 released from HSPG on HUVEC was measured after various truncated GnT-Vs and synthesized peptides were administrated to a culture medium of HUVEC at 4 °C. GnT-VA73 and peptide KRKRKK induced the release of FGF-2, whereas GnT-V $\Delta436$ and peptide FSGGPL had no effect (Fig. 3B). Both GnT-V Δ 188 and Δ 233, as well as GnTV Δ 73, also induced the release of FGF-2 (data not shown). Similarly, heparin, which is known to release HSPGbinding molecules by competing for their heparin-binding site (31), also induced the release of FGF-2. The phosphorylation of FGF receptors on HUVEC by stimulation of the released FGF-2 was confirmed (data not shown). The peptide KRKRKK promoted the growth of HUVEC to an extent similar to GnT-V Δ 73 (Fig. 3C). This effect was completely suppressed by the co-addition of a neutralizing antibody against FGF-2 (Fig. 3C). These results suggest that the KRKRKK region is sufficient for HUVEC growth-stimulating activity and that the GnT-V protein stimulates angiogenesis by re17006



FIG. 4. **GnT-V protein induces** *in vivo* **angiogenesis.** Shown are CAM assays using methylcellulose disks that contain BSA (1 μ g) (A), FGF-2 (50 ng) (B and C), GnT-V Δ 73 (1 μ g) (D and E), GnT-V Δ 436 (1 μ g) (F), FSGGPL (10 ng) (G), or KRKRKK (10 ng) (H and I), in the presence (C, E, and I) or absence (A, B, D, F, G, and H) of a neutralizing antibody against FGF-2 (*nAb*) (1 μ g).

leasing FGF-2 from HSPG on endothelial cells via the action of the basic region of the protein. $\,$

In Vivo Angiogenesis by GnT-V Protein-The induction of angiogenesis was also observed in other in vitro angiogenic assays, such as the capillary-like tube formation (32) and the migration assays (33) using HUVEC (data not shown). In order to investigate the angiogenic activity of GnT-V ex vivo, a CAM assay using GnT-VA73 protein was performed. GnT-VA73 induced angiogenesis of chick microvessels as well as FGF-2 (Fig. 4). Moreover, the KRKRKK peptide even induced a similar angiogenesis, and the induction of angiogenesis by $GnT-V\Delta73$ and peptide KRKRKK was inhibited by treatment with a neutralizing antibody against FGF-2. In contrast, neither $GnTV\Delta436$ nor the control peptide had any angiogenic activity. These results indicate that a secreted type of GnT-V and GnT-V-derived peptide KRKRKK induce angiogenesis via the action of FGF-2. Considering the results relative to HUVEC proliferation, the basic region of GnT-V may cause the release of FGF-2 from HSPG on endothelial cells.

DISCUSSION

Angiogenesis is one of the key regulatory steps necessary for tumor malignancy. Several endogenous stimulators and inhibitors of angiogenesis have been identified, and the net balance of these regulators represents the angiogenic phenotype of tumor cells. These include several types of molecules, the functions of which were originally thought to be related to events other than angiogenesis. For instance, angiostatin and endostatin are produced by the proteolysis from plasminogen and type XVIII collagen, respectively (34, 35). In the present study, we found that a secreted type of GnT-V protein induces angiogenesis that is unrelated to the usual glycosyltransferase activity of GnT-V. Although a variety of previous studies indicate that GnT-V is directly linked to tumor metastasis, the mechanistic details of its action at the molecular level remain unknown (6-9). Dennis's group reported that oligosaccharide structures that are modified by GnT-V on an integrin or T cell receptor affect cell-cell or cell-extracellular matrix interactions in the processes of tumor metastasis and the immune system (7, 36). The present study proposes a new mechanism of GnT-V-related tumor metastasis, which is not mediated by glycosylation. Namely, it appears that GnT-V is capable of acting as a bifunctional protein. GnT-V is a Golgi enzyme but is also secreted by some cultivated cells (26, 27). The concentration of GnT-V sufficient to induce angiogenesis is within the range of concentration that is actually observed in the conditioned medium of B16-F10 cells. Our hypothesis regarding the action of GnT-V in angiogenesis is schematically summarized in Fig. 5. It is thought that GnT-V secreted from cancer cells



FIG. 5. Schematic illustration of the induction of tumor angiogenesis by secreted GnT-V. A secreted type of GnT-V that contains the basic amino acid-clustered domain competes with FGF-2 to bind HSPG to the cell surface, resulting in the release of FGF-2 and stimulation of its receptor on the target cells.

releases a deposited FGF-2 from HSPG in the extracellular matrix by competition for binding, and subsequently the released FGF-2 binds to the FGF-2 receptor, forming a ternary complex with the receptor and associated heparan sulfate, and this interaction generates a signal leading to stimulation of angiogenesis. No GnT-V receptor/binding protein on the HU-VEC surface was detected by cross-linking analysis using ¹²⁵Ilabeled GnT-V, suggesting that GnT-V does not directly bind to HUVECs but acts indirectly to stimulate signal transduction (data not shown). This hypothesis is supported by the report that when endothelial cells were precultured with VEGF, the addition of a protein containing a basic amino acid cluster like GnT-V induced a release of VEGF from HSPG (19). Furthermore, the growth-stimulating effect of GnT-V was also observed in other cell lines, such as breast carcinoma cell lines MCF-7 and MDA-MB231 (data not shown), which are known to respond to FGF-2 (37, 38). These findings suggest that GnT-V might act as a general growth factor or a differentiation factor via a mechanism similar to that proposed here.

The angiogenic potential of GnT-V relates to a basic region that is conserved in the heparin-binding domains of hitherto known angiogenic growth factors. It is noteworthy in this respect that $VEGF_{189}$ contains the same basic peptide KRKRKK as GnT-V (Fig. 3A). VEGF₁₈₉ is known to exist on the cell surfaces as a cell-associated form, distinct from the secretable isoforms of VEGF_{121} and $\mathrm{VEGF}_{165}.$ To associate with a cell surface, the basic region of the C terminus of VEGF₁₈₉, including the KRKRKK sequence, is required (39). However, there is no evidence that $VEGF_{189}$ induces the release of FGF-2 from HSPG. In contrast, a secretable factor, PIGF-2, which contains the basic region, induces the release of FGF-2 (19). It appears that a secretable factor that contains a basic amino acid cluster might effect a release of a FGF-2 associated with HSPG. Although FGF-2 was identified as a target of the secreted type of GnT-V in this study, other growth factors associated with HSPG also might be released by GnT-V.

A considerable body of data has accumulated to date on the involvement of GnT-V in cancer progression (7-12). Therefore, inhibiting the action of GnT-V is considered a reasonable strategy against cancer progression. Five mechanisms have been proposed to suppress GnT-V function. First is the inhibition of the N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase enzyme reaction in cancer cells by the administration of a specific inhibitor. Actually, the development of such reagents is ongoing (40). The second involves the suppression of gene expression of GnT-V. Since

Ets-1 is one of the most important transcriptional factors in up-regulating GnT-V gene expression (41), Ets-1 may be a target for suppressing GnT-V expression. The third is to inhibit the secretion of GnT-V in cancer cells, although the secretion mechanism remains to be solved. The fourth is to mask the basic domain of GnT-V with some reactive acidic reagents. The fifth is the enhancement of the degradation of the secreted GnT-V by proteolysis. First, the issue of whether GnT-V contributes to cancer progression as a glycosyltransferase or an angiogenic factor needs to be determined in individual cancer cases. A specific inhibitor for the N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase reaction may solve this problem. In conclusion, we report on a novel mechanism in which a secreted type of GnT-V protein itself plays a critical role in tumor angiogenesis, acting as an angiogenic cofactor of FGF-2.

Acknowledgments-We thank Dr. Seiji Takashima for technical support and critical discussions. We are grateful for the criticism of Dr. Yasuhide Miyamoto. Technical supports were provided by Dr. Katsuhisa Noda, Hideyuki Ihara, Takatoshi Kitada, Yukinao Shibukawa, Haruhiko Sakiyama, Susumu Nakahara, and Yoshie Tawara. We also thank Dr. H. F. Deutsch for critically reading the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Folkman, J. (1971) N. Eng. J. Med. 285, 1182–1186
 Folkman, J. (1972) Ann. Surg. 175, 409–416
 Yamashita, K. Tachibana, Y., Ohkura, T., and Kobata, A. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260. 3963-3969
- 4. Pierce, M., and Arango, J. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 10772-10777 5. Zhu, T. Y., Chen, H. L, Gu, Zhang, Y. K., and Zhang, R. A. (1997) J. Cancer Res
- Clin. Oncol. 123, 296–299 6. Petretti, T., Kemmner, W., Schulze, B., and Schlag, P. M. (2000) Gut 46,
- 359 3667. Demetriou, M., Nabi, I. R., Coppolino, M., Dedhal, S., and Dennis, J. W. (1995)
- J. Cell Biol. 130, 383–392 Dennis, J. W., Laferte, S., Waghorn, C., Breitman, M. L., and Kerbel, R. S. (1987) Science 236, 582–585
- Granovsky, M., Fata, J., Pawling, J., Muller, W. J., Khokha, R., and Dennis, J. W. (2000) Nat. Med. 6, 306-312
- Yao, M., Zhou, D. P., Jiang, S. M., Wang, Q. H., Zhou, X. D., Tang, Z. Y., and Gu, J. X. (1998) J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. **124**, 27–30
 Dennis, J. W., and Laferte, S. (1989) Cancer Res. **49**, 945–950
- 12. Murata, K., Miyoshi, E., Kameyama, M., Ishikawa, O., Kabuto, T., Sasaki, Y., Hiratsuka, M., Ohigashi, H., Ishiguro, S., Ito, S., Honda, H., Takemura, F., Taniguchi, N., and Imaoka, S. (2000) *Clin. Cancer Res.* **6**, 1772–1777
- Suzuki, K., Tatsumi, H., Satoh, S., Senda, T., Nakata, T., Fujii, J., and Taniguchi, N. (1993) Am. J. Physiol. 265, H1173–H1178
- Yen, L., You, X. L., Moustafa, A. A., Batist, G., Hynes, N. E., Mader, S., Meloche, S., and Alaoui-Jamali, M. A. (2000) Oncogene 19, 3460–3469
 Bernardini, G., Spinetti, G., Ribatti, D., Camarda, G., Morbidelli L., Ziche, M., Santoni, A., Capogrossi, M. C., and Napolitano, M. (2000) Blood 96, (2000) 1005. 4039 - 4045
- 16. Sasai, K., Ikeda, Y., Fujii, T., Tsuda, T., and Taniguchi, N. (2002) Glycobiology 12, 119-127
- Ikeda, Y., Koyota, S., Ihara, H., Yamaguchi, Y., Korekane, H., Tsuda, T., Ken, S., and Taniguchi, N. (2000) J. Biochem. (Tokyo) 128, 609-619
- 18. Laemmli, U. K. (1970) Nature 227, 680–685
- 19. Barillari, G., Albonici, L., Franzese, O., Modesti, A., Liberati, F., Barillari, P. Ensoli, B., Manzari, V., and Santeusanio, G. (1998) Am. J. Pathol. 152, 1161 - 1166
- 20. Soker, S., Gollamudi-Payne, S., Fidder, H., Charmahelli, H., and Klagsburn, M. (1997) J. Biol. Chem. 272, 31582–31588
- 21. Hauser, S., and Weich H. A. (1993) Growth Factor 9, 259–268
- 22. Gohda, E., Tubouchi, H., Nakayama, S., Hirono, S., Sakiyama, O., Takahashi, K., Miyazaki, H., Hashimoto, S., and Daikuhara, Y. (1998) J. Clin. Invest. 81.414-419
- Marcz, A., N'Guyen, T., Chevallier, B., Clement, G., Dauchel, M. C., and Barritault, D. (1987) Biochimie (Paris) 69, 125–129
- Carriente, D. (1907) Biochamice (raris) 69, 125–129
 Risau, W., Gautschi-Sova, P., and Bohlen, P. (1988) EMBO J. 7, 959–962
 Rothenthal, R. A., Megyesi, J. F., Henzel, W. J., Ferrara, N., and Folkman, J. (1990) Growth Factor 4, 53–59
- 26. Chen, L., Zhang, N., Adler, B., Browne, J., Freigen, N., and Pierce, M. (1995)
- Glycoconj. J. 12, 813–823
 Gu, J., Nishikawa, A., Turuoka, N., Ohno, M., Yamaguchi, N., Kangawa, K., and Taniguchi, N. (1993) J. Biochem. (Tokyo) 113, 614–619
- 28. MaCaffery, G., and Jamison, J. C. (1993) Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B. 104, 91 - 94
- 29. Ugarte, M. A., and Rodriguez, P. (1991) Int. J. Biochem. 23, 719-726
- Strous, G. J. (1986) CRC Crit. Rev. Biochem. 21, 119–151
 Biard, A., Schubert, D., Ling, N., and Guillemin, R. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 85, 2324–2328
- Ashoton, A. W., Yokota, R., John, G., Zhao, S., Suadicani, S. O., Spray, D. C., and Ware, J. A. (1999) J. Biol. Chem. 274, 35562–35570
- Zeng, H., Sanyal, S., and Mukhopadhyay, D. (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 3271–3279

409, 733–739

- O'Reilly, M. S., Holmgren, L., Shing, Y., Chen, C., Rosenthal, R. A., Moses, M., Lane, W. S., Cao, Y., Saga, E. H., and Folkman, J. (1994) *Cell* **79**, 315–328
 O'Reilly, M. S., Boehm, T., Shing, Y., Fukai, N., Vasios, G., Lane, W. S., Flynn, E., Birkhead, J. R., Olsen, B. R., and Folkman, J. (1994) *Cell* **88**, 277–285
 Demetriou, M., Granovsky, M., Quaggin, S., and Dennis, J. W. (2001) *Nature* **409**, 733–739
- Nurcombe, V., Smart, C. E., Chipperfield, H., Cool, S. M., Boilly, B., and Hondermarck, H. (2000) J. Biol. Chem. 275, 30009-30018
 Tischer, E., Mitchell, R., Hartman, T., Silva, M., Gospodarowicz, D., Fiddes, J. C., and Abraham, J. A. (1991) J. Biol. Chem. 266, 11947-11954
 Lu, P. P., Hindsgaul, O., Compston, C. A., and Palcic, M. M. (1996) Bioorg. Med. Chem. 4, 2011-2022
 Ko, J. H., Miyoshi, E., Noda, K., Ekuni, A., Kang, R., Ikeda, Y., and Taniguchi, N. (1999) J. Biol. Chem. 274, 22941-22948
- Delehedde, M., Deudon, E., Boilly, B., and Hondermarck, H. (1996) *Exp. Cell Res.* 229, 398-406